## Court of Appeal of the State of California

IN AND FOR THE

# Fifth Appellate District

### F053716 People v. Tamplin, Jr.

Counsel having failed to request oral argument in the aboveentitled case, oral argument is deemed waived in accordance with the provisions of a notice heretofore mailed to counsel and the cause is submitted.

## F053716 People v. Tamplin, Jr.

The judgment is affirmed.

By the Court.

[NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS]

### F052906 People v. Childs

The judgment is affirmed with modifications. Ardaiz, P.J.

We concur: Vartabedian, J.; Kane, J.

[NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS]

#### F053928 People v. Lopez

The judgment is affirmed. Dawson, J.

We concur: Wiseman, Acting P.J.; Gomes, J.

[NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS]

## F054609 Pine Terrace Apartments, L.P. v. Windscape, L.L.C. et al.,

Oral argument having been waived in the above-entitled case in accordance with the provisions of a notice mailed to counsel, the calendar date heretofore set is vacated and the case is submitted for decision.

#### F053649 People v. Confectioner

Oral argument having been waived in the above-entitled case in accordance with the provisions of a notice mailed to counsel, the calendar date heretofore set is vacated and the case is submitted for decision.

## F055136 In re E.A., a Minor

Oral argument having been waived in the above-entitled case in accordance with the provisions of a notice mailed to counsel, the calendar date heretofore set is vacated and the case is submitted for decision.

# Court of Appeal of the State of California

IN AND FOR THE

# Fifth Appellate District

#### F053666 People v. Martinez

Oral argument having been waived in the above-entitled case in accordance with the provisions of a notice mailed to counsel, the calendar date heretofore set is vacated and the case is submitted for decision.

### F053122 Whitehurst v. Whitehurst

The appeal insofar as it purports to appeal from the probate court's May 18, 2007 order denying appellant's three motions is dismissed. The court's March 12, 2007 order approving the non-prorata distribution of trust assets is affirmed. Costs to respondent. Ardaiz, P.J.

We concur: Dawson, J.; Hill, J.

[NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS]

## F055060 Sasso v. Spaeth

Oral argument having been waived by the parties in their response to the calendar notice and questionnaire, the calendar date heretofore set is vacated and the case is submitted for decision.

#### F055619 Clarke v. Mount

Appellant having filed an abandonment and/or request for dismissal of appeal, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the appeal in the above-entitled action is dismissed.